

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action correspondence is a response to the applicant's amendment filed on 09/29/2008. After reconsideration of the applicant's amendment filed on 09/29/2008, further search and through examination of the present application, claims 1-10,17,21-22 and 24 are found to be in condition for allowance over prior arts of record.

2. *Claims 11-16,18-20 and 23 are canceled.*

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew Dunlap on Feb 2,2009.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the specification page ¹¹~~10~~ line ⁵~~16~~ please delete "Fig 3" and insert "Figs.3A/3B"

Reasons for allowance

4. Claims 1,21-22,24 are patentable over the closest references Maeda et al(hereinafter referred as Maeda) US Pub No 2004/0228487 in view of Alve et al(hereinafter referred as Alve) US Pub No 2003/0076955 A1 because they do not anticipated nor fairly and reasonably teach a method/system/apparatus/computer readable recording of using a key delivery apparatus that manages a decryption key for decrypting an encrypted content and manages a suppliable number

MR
3-16-09